First Amend - Spring 2006 - Harpaz Exam No.	Raw Score	Final Grade
Question I (Abu Ghraib tableaus) (70 points) speech-fully protected pol sp or symb exp? Not ambigu symbolic speech - Spence test-intended to communicate application of test - laughter, applause - only some look O'Brien - important interest - morality?, protect from a narrowly tailored-are means narrowly tailored-bans put unrelated to expression-ban on all lewdness not just what strict scrutiny if content based - application of test to fallow level speech - nudity as low level speech - intermed content based or content neutral (manner not message) content neutral reasonable time place and manner regamiller obscenity-not obscene on facts-no appeal to prutoverbroad - standard - legit vs. illegit applications - is application to facts-not limited to actual nudity; based vague- language of statute and definition are vague - not compared to the compared to the public forum (PF)-trad'l PF - public street-is street outs miscellaneous	acts	-street theater(5)
Question II (Survivor campus leaflet distribution) (70 prior restraint - justif to use, clear standards? discretion prior restraint procedures - procedural DP (e.gany approlitical speech - leaflets, signs - fully protected express trad'l public forum (PF)-can flagpole area be viewed a Kennedy trad'l PF- physical characteristics, open to put TPM reg-content neutral, sub int, narrowly tailored, alt designated public forum or nonpublic forum-intent, polimited PF-only for campus groups-reasonable limit on commercial use (pushcarts) in flagpole area vs. unsponnonpublic forum-limits reasonable?, not viewpt based viewpt discrim - prochoice group allowed, not prolifestrict scrutiny review - compelling reason, least restrict miscellaneous	n? status of speaker nepeal, timeliness)ssion?, unique means a street or park even in the peaker of the peakers?, application, practice as application, practice as application speakers?, not based on status, or based on message of the alternative means the peakers?	?(5)? ?(4)? if on campus-(5)? ily to facts(8)? ily to facts(7)? ill do facts(5)? ild on viewpt(6); itical groups-(5)? PF immunity(6)? r status?(5)? s(5)?
Question III (40 points) fighting words - unprotected speech - def in Chaplinsk app to facts - face to face?, directed at group not indivipersonal insult or pol hyperbole? right wing crazies, N. Brandenburg incitement - test (imminent lawless action make sure can't spread evil ideas anymore- specific that I wouldn't be surprised- rally is tomorrow - advocacy of likelihood of such action - didn't occur, police interver true threat - def - serious expression of intent to commintimidation-def-threat w/intent to place victim in fear True threats vs pol hyperbole-make sure can't spread other unprotected categories - e.g., Feiner incitement, i miscellaneous	dual?azis; make resort to ver and likelihood) - Streat? carried out at sport imminent? lawless ned and prevented, if it an act of unlawful of bodily harm-Statu gas chamber, inter	riolence?(4)