Question I (public indecent language ordinance) (50 points)
as applied-ML argues fully protected political expression, Cohen protects profanity--------(3)_____
city argues lesser value speech, intermed scrutiny---(3)_____
fighting wds-def-face-to-face wds,likely to cause average addressee to resort to violence-(3)_____
applic to facts-does audience of police matter; are wds directed at issue or individual------(5)_____
city argues obscenity or libel, but ML refutes arguments--(3)_____
traditional public forum-city streets & trad'l means of expression-leafletting----------------(3)_____
content based ordinance-vulgar language not other speech, but manner not ideas-----------(5)_____
strict scrutiny-compell'g int (protect children (one 14 yr old?), avert ears), least restric alt(5)_____
reduce adult expression to what is suitable for children---(3)_____
reasonable TPM-content based, secondary vs. primary effects-imp int, narrow, ample alts(5)_____
facial challenge-overbreadth-can apply to fighting wds,but what about other applications?(5)_____
vagueness-vulgar and indecent language---(4)_____
miscellaneous_____(3)_____

Question II (law school bulletin boards) (50 points)
Boxer seeks to engage in core political expression--(2)_____
status of official student group bulletin boards-designated limited public forum------------(4)_____
available to all student groups (like booth rule), but not indivs, but he can form group-----(3)_____
impermissible selective access or based on status as in Perry-----------------------------------(3)_____
not a Kennedy forum since not akin to streets and parks---(2)_____
nonforum-selective access based on status-to avoid notices everywhere, reasonable--------(4)_____
reasonable TPM restriction---(4)_____
content based limitation; is it viewpoint based because only pro-choice, strict scrutiny----(5)_____
content selected by group not by school officials-school officials are neutral as to content(3)_____
general notice bulletin bd-forum status? designated public forum or nonforum_____(4)_____
limited based on content of communication, impermissible limit?----------------------------(3)_____
preference for commercial speech over political speech--(3)_____
standard of review-content-based-strict scrutiny or intermediate (reas TPM)----------------(3)_____
argue forum is all bulletin boards and pol sp accommodated on student group bds---------(4)_____
miscellaneous_____(3)_____

Question III (Bloody Sunday March) (50 points)
application of anti-littering ordinance - March is political speech-----------------------------(2)_____
city sts-a traditional public forum, no evid street is off-limits to public & thus nonforum--(4)_____
symbolic expression - Spence test - intent to communicate & likely to be understood------(4)_____
O'Brien test-imp int, unrelated to effort to suppress exp, narrowly tailored-----------------(2)_____
application to facts-imp int-clean sts, unrelated to expression (all trash), narrow-----------(4)_____
reasonable TPM reg-manner-imp int, narrow tailoring, content neutral-ample alts----------(6)_____
facial challenge to special event permit law-prior restraint scheme--------------------------(3)_____
do criteria leave too much discretion in hands of city?---(4)_____
do criteria allow decision to be based on content of event?--------------------------------------(4)_____
procedural protections-5 days before event,judicial rev w/burden on city,emergency basis(5)_____
overbreadth-do impermissible applications dwarf permissible ones-single person who draws
attention (could be clothes they wear etc.)--(5)_____
vagueness-events w/propensity to draw onlookers, etc.--(4)_____
miscellaneous_____(3)_____